UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of

Intercontinental Exchange, Inc., a corporation;

Docket No. 9413

and

Black Knight, Inc., a corporation.

RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO SET STATUS CONFERENCE

Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. and Black Knight, Inc. (together, "Respondents") respectfully request, pursuant to Rule 3.21(f), a status conference to address the schedule in this matter. The FTC filed an action pursuant to Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "District Court") seeking a preliminary injunction preventing the transaction at issue here from closing and obtained a Temporary Restraining Order in that matter. *See Fed. Trade Comm'n v. Intercontinental Exch., Inc.*, No. 23-cv-1710 (N.D. Cal.) (the "Section 13(b) Action"). The District Court in the Section 13(b) Action issued an order last week setting a pretrial, briefing, and hearing schedule (the "Section 13(b) Schedule"), attached at Exhibit A. The Section 13(b) Schedule conflicts with the schedule in this matter in several material respects. Respondents seek a status conference at your Honor's earliest convenience to discuss and address the prehearing schedule in this matter, given the order in the Section 13(b) Action and those conflicts.

As an initial matter, the Section 13(b) Schedule set by the District Court conflicts with the merits hearing set by the Commission. The two proceedings now completely overlap. The Section

13(b) hearing effectively starts on June 30 when the parties are to submit direct testimony by declaration. There is then a pretrial conference on July 20 and live testimony on July 25 and 26. The Part 3 proceeding has a pretrial conference on July 11 and live testimony beginning on July 12. The parties are required to submit evidence and pretrial findings of fact and conclusions of law in the Section 13(b) Action in close proximity to but at different times than are set here, imposing substantial burden on the parties, their counsel, and witnesses who face the prospect of litigating, in parallel, two proceedings on opposite sides of the country. A few dates also directly conflict. Specifically, the Section 13(b) Schedule has an in-person prehearing conference on July 20 in the District Court, and live testimony is set to be presented on July 25-26, both right in the middle of the merits hearing presently scheduled in this matter. Compare Ex. A (Section 13(b) Schedule) (pretrial hearing July 20; cross-examination and re-direct of witnesses July 25 and 26), with Part 3 Scheduling Order (merits hearing beginning July 12, which the parties have discussed lasting at least 3-4 weeks). Respondents intend to seek from the Commission a stay of the merits hearing or continuance of that hearing to a time that will not conflict with the Section 13(b) Action, which takes precedence over the Part 3 action. See 16 C.F.R. § 3.1. We understand that Complaint Counsel opposes that relief.

Respondents have opened a dialogue with Complaint Counsel about conforming the Section 13(b) Schedule and the prehearing schedule here, which is within your Honor's discretion to adjust, and we are hopeful that we will be able jointly to propose adjustments to the prehearing schedule that will reduce inefficiency, duplication, and prejudice. The first of the prehearing schedule deadlines that depart from the Section 13(b) Schedule is next week. Under the prehearing schedule in this matter, Complaint Counsel must serve expert reports and related materials next Tuesday, May 30, with Respondents' expert reports due on June 13, and rebuttal reports from

Complaint Counsel due on June 23. In the Section 13(b) Schedule, all parties are required to submit opening expert reports simultaneously on May 30, and then all parties are required to submit Rebuttal reports on June 23. This is but one example. The Section 13(b) Schedule sets parallel, but different, deadlines for many other prehearing submissions by the parties.

Rule 3.21(f) authorizes your Honor to hold additional status conferences and enter additional scheduling orders "as may be needed to ensure the just and expeditious disposition of the proceeding and to avoid unnecessary cost." *See* 16 C.F.R. § 3.21(f). The conflicts and inconsistencies between the Section 13(b) Schedule and the current schedule in this matter need to be resolved to fulfill those goals. Respondents respectfully request a status conference at your Honor's earliest convenience to address these issues.

* * *

Dated: May 24, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

/s/ John C. Dodds

John C. Dodds Zachary M. Johns 1701 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

Tel: (215) 963-5000 Fax: (215) 963-5001

john.dodds@morganlewis.com zachary.johns@morganlewis.com

J. Clayton Everett

Ryan M. Kantor

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004-2541

Tel: (202) 739-3000 Fax: (202) 739-3001

clay.everett@morganlewis.com ryan.kantor@morganlewis.com

Harry T. Robins Susan Zhu

101 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10178-0060

Tel: (212) 309-6000 Fax: (212) 309-6001

harry.robins@morganlewis.com susan.zhu@morganlewis.com

Kenneth M. Kliebard 110 North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-1511

Tel: (312) 324-1000 Fax: (312) 324-1001

kenneth.kliebard@morganlewis.com

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

/s/ Jonathan M. Moses

Jonathan M. Moses
Sarah K. Eddy
Nelson O. Fitts
Adam L. Goodman
51 West 52nd Street
New York, NY 10019
Tel: (212) 403-1000
JMMoses@wlrk.com
SKEddy@wlrk.com
NOFitts@wlrk.com
ALGoodman@wlrk.com

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

Elliot R. Peters Steven K. Taylor Khari J. Tillery 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 391-5400 epeters@keker.com staylor@keker.com ktillery@keker.com

Counsel for Respondent Black Knight, Inc.

SUSMAN GODFREY LLP

Kalpana Srinivasan Michael Gervais Jesse-Justin Cuevas 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029 Tel: (310) 789-3100 Fax: (310) 789-3150 ksrinivasan@susmangodfrey.com mgervais@susmangodfrey.com jcuevas@susmangodfrey.com

Adam Carlis Alexander L. Kaplan Michael C. Kelso Abigail Noebels Shawn L. Raymond Alejandra C. Salinas Krisina Zuñiga 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, TX 77002-5096 Tel: (713) 651-9366 Fax: (713) 654-6666 acarlis@susmangodfrey.com akaplan@susmangodfrey.com mkelso@susmangodfrey.com anoebels@susmangodfrey.com sraymond@susmangodfrey.com asalinas@susmangodfrey.com kzuniga@susmangodfrey.com

Counsel for Respondent Intercontinental Exchange, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 24, 2023, I filed the foregoing document electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

April Tabor Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-113 Washington, DC 20580 ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I also certify that I caused the foregoing document to be served via email to the following:

Daniel Aldrich

Laura Antonini

Catharine Bill

Steven Couper

Caitlin Cipicchio

Abby L. Dennis

Xuan Gong

Kurt Herrera-Heintz

Laura Krachman

Janet J. Kim

Christopher Lamar

Ashley Masters

Peter Richman

Lauren Sillman

Neal Perlman

Nicolas Stebinger

Nina Thanawala

Kurt Daniel Walters

Taylor Weaver

Abigail Wood

Federal Trade Commission

600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Lee Van Voorhis Ian R. Dickinson Womble Bond Dickinson LLP 2001 K Street, NW Suite 400 South Washington, DC 20006

Counsel for Constellation Web Solutions, Inc.

Tammy A. Tsournas Kirkland & Ellis LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Counsel for Sagent M&C, LLC (d/b/a Sagent Lending Technologies)

Thomas N. Abbott Perkins Coie LLP 1120 NW Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209

Tiffany Lee Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101

Counsel for Newrez LLC

Steve Albertson Fenwick & West LLP 733 10th Street NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20001

Erica R. Sutter Fenwick & West LLP 902 Broadway, Suite 18 New York, NY 10010

Counsel for PollyEx, Inc.

By: <u>/s/ John C. Dodds</u> John C. Dodds

Counsel for Respondent Intercontinental Exchange, Inc.

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

,

v.

INTERCONTINENTAL EXCHANGE, INC.

and

BLACK KNIGHT, INC.,

S.

3:23-cv-01710-AMO

SCHEDULING ORDER

SCHEDULING ORDER CASE No. 3:23-CV-01710-AMO A case management conference was held on May 12, 2023. Having considered the parties' proposals, the Court **SETS** the following deadlines with respect to the FTC's claim for a preliminary injunction under Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act and any defenses to that claim pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Close of fact discovery, other than depositions of experts, and discovery for purposes of authenticity of exhibits; provided that this deadline will not preclude the parties from completing discovery of third-parties pursuant to timely served subpoenas whose document productions have not been completed by May 23, 2023 and/or who did not make themselves reasonably available for deposition pursuant to a timely subpoena within the fact discovery period.	May 23, 2023 [agreed]
Deadline for parties to provide opening expert witness reports and all materials required by Additional Provision 21 of Chief Administrative Law Judge Chappell's March 29 Scheduling Order in Dkt. No. 9413.	May 30, 2023 [agreed]
Deadline for Plaintiff to file its memorandum in support of its request for a preliminary injunction, which shall not exceed 30 pages.	June 2, 2023
Deadline for Defendants to file memorandum(s) in opposition to the Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction. Defendants' memorandum(s) shall cumulatively not exceed 30 pages.	June 16, 2023
Deadline for parties to identify rebuttal expert(s) and provide rebuttal expert report(s) and all materials required by Additional Provision 21 of Chief Administrative Law Judge Chappell's March 29 Scheduling Order in Dkt. No. 9413. Any such reports are to be limited to rebuttal of matters set forth in the parties' opening expert reports. If material outside the scope of fair rebuttal is	June 23, 2023 [agreed]

SCHEDULING ORDER CASE No. 3:23-cv-01710-AMO

presented, parties will have the right to seek appropriate relief (such as striking rebuttal expert reports or seeking leave to submit surrebuttal expert reports).	
Deadline for Plaintiff to file its reply memorandum in support of its request for a preliminary injunction. The FTC's reply memorandum shall not exceed 15 pages.	June 23, 2023
Parties that intend to offer as evidence materials designated as confidential by an opposing party or non-party shall provide notice to the opposing party or non-party by this date.	June 26, 2023
Deadline for depositions of experts (including rebuttal experts) and exchange of expert related exhibits.	June 29, 2023 [agreed]
Deadline to file motions for in camera treatment of proposed hearing exhibits.	June 30, 2023
Deadline to file motions <i>in limine</i> . Any briefs in support of, or opposition to, motions <i>in limine</i> , including Daubert motions, shall not exceed 10 pages.	June 30, 2023
Deadline to file proposed pre-hearing findings of fact and conclusions of law. Each side's proposed pre-hearing findings of fact and conclusions of law shall not exceed 50 pages.	June 30, 2023
Deadline to submit direct evidence through declarations, deposition designations, and exhibits.	June 30, 2023
Deadline to file responses to motions for in camera treatment of proposed hearing exhibits.	July 6, 2023
Deadline to file opposition to motions in limine.	July 6, 2023
Pre-hearing conference.	July 20, 2023 at 11 am

SCHEDULING ORDER CASE No. 3:23-CV-01710-AMO

Evidentiary hearing on Plaintiff's Motion begins. The parties will cross-exam witnesses, as well as redirect them. The parties are limited to 8 hours per side.	July 25, 2023 at 9 am
Deadline to submit post-hearing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. Each side's post-hearing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law shall not exceed 75 pages.	July 31, 2023

Any party may seek modification of this Order for good cause, except that the parties may also modify discovery and expert disclosure deadlines by agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 16, 2023

ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCHEDULING ORDER CASE No. 3:23-CV-01710-AMO